Short Communication

Nomenclatural notes on *Acacia* Mill. (Leguminosae – Mimosaceae), consequential to the conservation of its name

Les Pedley

L.Pedley, c/- Queensland Herbarium, Environmental Protection Agency, Brisbane Botanic Gardens, Mt Coot-tha Road, Toowong, Queensland 4066, Australia.

At the XVII International Botanical Congress in Vienna in 2005 the name Acacia Mill. was conserved with A. penninervis Sieber ex DC. as type. (see McNeill et al. 2005). If the wide circumscription of Acacia is accepted in the sense of Bentham (1864, 1874) and most other subsequent authors (for example: Ross 1979; Neilsen 1992; Orchard & Wilson 2001), the conservation of its name will have little effect. One consequence, however, is that the names, though not the circumscriptions, of two of the three currently accepted subgenera will change. Acacia subgenus Phyllodineae (Type: A. penninervis Sieber ex DC.) becomes Acacia subgenus Acacia and the previous Acacia subgenus Acacia (Type: A. *nilotica* (L.) Del.) appears to have no name. The deficiency could be corrected in a few lines, but it would be premature to do so here. The conservation, which was approved by fewer than half the votes at the Nomenclature Session at Vienna (McNeill op.cit.) has not been well received by some parts of the botanical community; see, for example, Moll (2005). The imbroglio is not likely to be settled before the XVIII International Botanical Congress in 2011 when the International Code of Botanical Nomenclature (ICBN) as amended at the Vienna Congress (including nomina conservanda) will have to be accepted. Until then it would be prudent to preserve the status quo as far as possible. The synopsis of the genus Racosperma (Pedley 2003) should therefore be disregarded. However, the transfer of names of three taxa from *Racosperma* is necessary, and an explanatory note on another species is desirable. These matters are addressed below.

Racosperma calligerum Pedley, *Austrobaileya* 6 (3): 455 (2003).

Acacia ligulata var. minor (F.Muell.) Pedley, comb. nov.

Acacia salicina var. minor F.Muell., J. Proc. Linn. Soc., Bot. 3: 126 (1859); Racosperma ligulatum var. minus (F.Muell.) Pedley, Austrobaileya 3: 473 (2003).

Acacia serpentinicola (Maslin) Pedley, comb. et stat. nov.

Acacia juncifolia subsp. *serpentinicola* Maslin, *Nuytsia* 6: 47 (1994); *Racosperma serpentinicola* (Maslin) Pedley, *Austrobaileya* 6 (3): 486 (2003).

Acacia eglandulosa DC., Prodr. 2: 450 (1825).

Acacia cyclops A.Cunn. ex G.Don, Gen. Hist. 2: 404 (1832).

Acacia mirbelii Dehnh., Rivista Napol. 1: 168 (1839).

Cowan & Maslin (1999) considered it possible that *A. eglandulosa* and *A. cyclops* were conspecific. They left the resolution of the species they treated as *A. cyclops* to a future monographer. However, they had no doubt about the status of *A. mirbelii* Dehnh. Though they did not see type material, they decided that the name *A. mirbelii* 'surely refers to this species' that is *A. cyclops*. They considered the species to be 'well known, easily recognised, [and] widespread.' Clearly they did not want a name change. No monographer is likely to appear and such a one would hardly have the expertise of the two authors.

Acacia calligera (Pedley) Pedley, comb. nov.

Accepted for publication 23 August 2006

The species is easily recognised and without doubt *A. cyclops* and *A. eglandulosa* are the same species. It seems that the authors chose to disregard the priority provisions of the Code to preserve a name in common use. The whole issue of Names of Current Use (NCUs) was widely debated at and after the XV Botanical Conference at Tokyo. It became the subject of two proposals to amend the Code at the XVI Congress at Saint Louis (Greuter 1998) where it was rejected (Greuter *et al.* 2000: 114).

If the species has some economic or other importance, a proposal to conserve the name *A. cyclops* should be prepared.

References

- BENTHAM, G. (1864). Acacia. Flora Australiensis 2: 301–421. L.Reeve & Co.: London.
- (1874). Revision of the suborder Mimosieae. Transactions of the Linnean Society, London 30: 335-664.
- COWAN, R.S. & MASLIN, B.R. (1999). Acacia miscellany 17. Miscellaneous new taxa and lectotypifications in Western Australian Acacia, mostly section *Plurinerves. Nuytsia* 12: 413–452.
- GREUTER, W. (1998). (86-87) Two proposals on Art. 15, and report on the Standing Committee on Lists of names in Common Use. *Taxon* 47: 895-898.
- GREUTER, W., MCNEILL, J., HAWKSWORTH, D.L. & BARRIE, F.R. (2000). Report on botanical nomenclature. Saint Louis 1999. *Englera* 20.
- Moll, E. (2005). Acacia for Africa! Veld & Flora 91(4): 178–179.
- MCNEILL, J., STUESSY, T.F., TURLAND N.J. & HORANDL, E. (2005). XVII International Botanical Congress: preliminary vote and report of Congress action on nomenclatural proposals. *Taxon* 54: 1057– 1064.
- NEILSEN, I.C. (1992). Acacia. Flora Malesiana ser. I. 11: 34–64.
- ORCHARD, A.E. & WILSON, A.J.G. (eds). (2001). Flora of Australia Vols. 11A & 11B. Australian Biological Resources Study: Canberra.
- PEDLEY, L. (2003). A synopsis of *Racosperma* C.Mart. (Leguminosae: *Mimosoideae*). *Austrobaileya* 6: 445–496.
- Ross, J.H. (1979). A conspectus of African Acacia species. Memoirs of the Botanical Survey of South Africa. 44: 1–155.